

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,060	03/29/2001	John Zimmerman	US010076	US010076 5337	
24737	7590 09/29/2005	EXAM		INER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			FISH, JAMIESON W		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/821,060	ZIMMERMAN, JOH	N
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jamieson W. Fish	2617	*

	Jamieson W. Fish	2617	10
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED MILHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	·
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	umpliant Amondment /	DTOL 324)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mphant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·.·	•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Detailed Action.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:			
·			•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08-29-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that **1.** Graves does not disclose or suggest an activation mechanism (See Remarks Pg 5 Paragraph 3 Pg 7 Paragraph 2) **2.** Graves fails to disclose or suggest subject matter for viewer interaction to alter a topic selection (See Remarks Pg 6 Paragraph 2), **3.** Graves does not teach viewer selection and altering of weighted viewer preferences (See Remarks Pg 6 Paragraph 3), **4.** There is no disclosure or suggestion within Graves for a television viewer profile that changes with time (See Remarks Pg 6 Paragraph 5), **5.** Stas does not teach weighted viewer preferences (See Remarks Pg 6 Paragraph 7, See Remarks Pg 7 Paragraph 3), **6.** There is no viewer profile disclosed or suggested by Stas (See Remarks Pg 7 Paragraph 1), **7.** That the combination of Graves in view of Stas is improper (See Remarks Pg 7 Paragraph 3 Pg 8 Paragraph 1).

In response to argument 1, claim 1 recites "wherein the television viewer profile weighted viewer preferences have an activation mechanism that allows for viewer selection and manipulation of the television viewer profile weighted viewer preferences." As disclosed in the Final Rejection Graves teaches where the user can select and manipulate the rankings with a remote control or keypad (See Graves Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Col. 6 lines 60-67, Col. 7 lines 1-20), thus the remote control or keypad is interpreted to be an "activation mechanism." Graves also teaches where a user can tune to a preview channel to select and manipulate the rankings (See Graves Col. 7 lines 1-20), thus

Application/Control Number: 09/821,060

Art Unit: 2617

tuning to a channel to select and manipulate the rankings is also an "activation mechanism." Although the examiner never relied on the screen appearing at designated times as the "activating mechanism," it is noted that a screen which appears at a designated time which allows the user to select and manipulate the television viewer profile weighted preferences is an "activation mechanism." Thus, Graves clearly teaches "wherein the television viewer profile weighted viewer preferences have an activation mechanism that allows for viewer selection and manipulation of the television viewer profile weighted viewer preferences."

In response to argument **2**, as disclosed in the Final Rejection Graves teaches changing the value of a displayed selected topic as not appropriate. Changing the value of a displayed selected topic is "to alter a topic selection presented."

In response to argument **3**, as disclosed in the Final Rejection Graves teaches by illustration in Fig. 6, the user selects preferences with Channel Up/Down keys and adjusts the weights of the preference bars with the volume controls (See Final Rejection Paragraph 6).

In response to argument **4**, as disclosed in the Final Rejection Graves teaches that a personal preference file is updated and this update is considered a change with time (See Final Rejection Paragraph 8). It is also noted that a television viewer profile that can change is a television viewer profile that changes with time since no change can occur instantaneously.

In response to argument **5**, as discussed in the Final rejection Stas teaches blocking or allowing a channel for a time period (See Final Rejection Paragraph 16).

The user blocks or allows a channel for a time period by assigning a Boolean value (Block or Allow) to a channel for the time period. Thus, the user is assigning a weight e.g. 1 or 0, based on whether he prefers the channel is to be allowed or to be blocked. Thus, Stas teaches weighted viewer preferences.

In response to argument **6**, although it is not in the specification, in the Remarks the applicant defines "viewer profile" as "a tool that can be used by a viewer (See Pg 7 Paragraph 1)." Stas' invention is clearly a "tool that can be used by a viewer." Thus, Stas teaches a viewer profile.

In response to argument **7**, claim **18** was rejected in the Final Rejection as being obvious over Stas in view of Graves (See Paragraph 18), not as obvious over Graves in view of Stas. Thus, the applicant's argument that this combination would result in Graves not being fit for its intended purpose is moot.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamieson W. Fish whose telephone number is 571-272-7307. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,060 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JF 9-26-2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER